

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/003,044	12/06/2001	Hajime Matsumoto	to 43247 4952		
1609 7	590 08/18/2005		EXAM	INER	
	, ABRAMS, BERDO &	GOODMAN, L.L.P.	PUTTLITZ	, KARL J	
1300 19TH ST	REET, N.W.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	

SUITE 600 WASHINGTON,, DC 20036

DATE MAILED: 08/18/2005

1621

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>		
. •	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/003,044	MATSUMOTO ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Karl J. Puttlitz	1621
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) dwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDO	timely filed lays will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 Ju	<u>ıne 2005</u> .	
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E		· /
Disposition of Claims		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-3,5,6 and 8-13 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce	epted or b) objected to by the	e Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	•	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
<ul> <li>12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:</li> <li>1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>	s have been received. s have been received in Applicative documents have been received in Received in Received in Received in Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ived in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5)  Notice of Informa 6)  Other:	ary (PTO-413) Date Il Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 1621

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 2/2/2005 has been entered.

The outstanding rejection under section 103 over Shingai is withdrawn since this reference fails to teach or suggest the step of recovering (meth)acrylic acid and recycling the same to a reaction apparatus for use as a raw material in the production of hydroxyalkyl (meth)acrylic acid.

The following new grounds of rejection are now entered under section 103:

## Prior Art rejections

The claims are drawn to, inter alia, a production process for a hydroxyalkyl (meth)acrylate, which comprises the step of carrying out a reaction between (meth)acrylic acid and an alkylene oxide to produce the hydroxyalkyl (meth)acrylate in a reaction apparatus, with the production process further comprising the steps of:

Art Unit: 1621

(a) producing a resultant reaction liquid in the reaction apparatus where the resultant reaction liquid contains crude hydroxyalkyl (meth)acrylate, unreacted (meth)acrylic acid and unreacted alkylene oxide,

- (b) introducing the resultant reaction liquid from said reaction apparatus into a distillation apparatus and distilling the reaction liquid under an operational pressure of 1 to 40 hPa to remove obtain a distillate containing unreacted (meth)acrylic acid;
- (c) recovering the distillate containing the unreacted (meth)acrylic acid by the distillation of the resultant reaction liquid; and thereafter
- (d) recycling and introducing the distillate containing the unreacted (meth)acrylic acid recovered from the distillation apparatus into the reaction apparatus as a raw material for the reaction wherein the concentration of the (meth)acrylic acid in the reaction liquid is in the range of 0.1 to 20 weight %.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 3,709,928 to Murayama et al. (Murayama).

Art Unit: 1621

Murayama teaches the production of hydroxyalkylacrylates from the reaction alkylene oxides and acrylic aor methacrylic acid. See column 1, lines 30-42.

The patent teaches a distillation of the reaction mixture to produce a distalate containing methacrylic acid (MAA in Table 1):

TABLE 1									
				Distille -	Compassion of product				Augistist Billiares to
		Accitive at the line of 2020	Reaction yield (mot percent)	iien yisla (yr. portent)	HEMA wt. percentl	Peresses Tr Tr Tr	EDMA FE- CHEEFER	Hue of predent (LPHA)	hesitra portlan of cartification suit
	Exemple 2. Comparative example:	Tristhyleneglycol	87. E	PE. \$	<b>28</b>	8.6	2.5	3	Not observed.
		Discrylphthelate Name	97. Q \$7. <b>Q</b>	\$5.5 \$8.5	68 E3	5.4 3.6	. Q.B.	2 2	Observed. Do.

The difference between the claimed process and that disclosed by

Mmurayama is that Murayama fails to explicitly teach the recycling of methacrylic
acid. However, those of ordinary skill would be motivated to recycle raw
materials isolated from a reaction product in order to increase reaction efficiency,
and therefore, recycling methacrylic acid as a raw material is prima facie obvious.

Claims 1, 2, 3, 5, 6, and 8-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Murayama in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,534,625 to Matsumoto et al. (Matsumoto)

The rejected claims cover those embodiments comprising the addition of polymerization inhibitors and those embodiments comprising the steps of separating the unreacted alkylene oxide from the reaction liquid in the first place; and thereafter recovering the unreacted (meth)acrylic acid by the distillation.

Art Unit: 1621

Murayama fails to explicitly teach the embodiments listed above. It is for this proposition that the examiner joins Matsumoto. Specifically, Matsumoto teaches a process for producing a hydroxyalkyl (meth)acrylate which comprises the step of carrying out a reaction between (meth)acrylic acid and an alkylene oxide, wherein the process provides recovering and recycling the unreacted residue of the alkylene oxide.

The patent teaches polymerization inhibitors at the description bridging columns 2 and 3

The patent teaches stripping the unreacted residue of the alkylene oxide from the reaction liquid to separate therefrom the unreacted residue of the alkylene oxide, and then using water as an absorbing solvent to cause it to absorb the separated unreacted residue of the alkylene oxide. See column 1 lines 53-67.

Importantly, the patent teaches that when the unreacted residue of the alkylene oxide is recovered by the stripping and absorption, the (meth)acrylic acid or the hydroxyalkyl (meth)acrylate mingles into the absorbing liquid as well as the absorption apparatus due to the vapor pressure or an entrainment caused by the stripping. See column 4, last paragraph.

Also, a part of the absorbing liquid resultant from the absorption of the unreacted residue of the alkylene oxide may be subjected to addition of (meth)acrylic acid or alkylene oxide fitly if necessary, and then recycled as a raw material for producing the hydroxyalkyl (meth)acrylate. See column 6, lines 13-19.

Art Unit: 1621

Also, with regard to claim 3, the above reaction liquid is led to the step to remove such as these unreacted residues of raw materials from the reaction liquid, and then purified by such as distillation as the subsequent final step, with the result that the aimed hydroxyalkyl (meth)acrylate is obtained. See column 2, lines 26-35.

Therefore, those of ordinary skill would have been motivated to modify Murayama to include the steps of the addition of polymerization inhibitors and those embodiments comprising the steps of separating the unreacted alkylene oxide from the reaction liquid in the first place; and thereafter recovering the unreacted (meth)acrylic acid by the distillation, since Matsumoto teaches that these purification steps can be preformed to recover unreacted residues of raw materials. Therefore, the rejected claims are prima facie obvious in view of Murayama and Matsumoto since these references teach the elements of the claims with a reasonable expectation of success.

Applicant cannot rely upon the foreign priority papers to overcome this rejection because a translation of said papers has not been made of record in accordance with 37 CFR 1.55. See MPEP § 201.15.

Art Unit: 1621

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Karl J. Puttlitz whose telephone number is (571) 272-0645. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 9 a.m. to 5 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Johann Richter, can be reached at telephone number (571) 272-0646. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Karl J. Puttlitz
Assistant Examiner

Johann R. Richter, Ph.D., Esq. Supervisory Patent Examiner

Biotechnology and Organic Chemistry

Art Unit 1621 (571) 272-0646